



199-201

253717 A

SCAN AND REPEAT HIGH RESOLUTION PROJECTION LITHOGRAPHY SYSTEM

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

P1, B Field of the Invention

P This invention relates to lithography systems for imaging patterns, and more particularly relates to lithography method and apparatus having a scan and repeat system characterized by complementary edge illumination by adjacent scans for producing precise images of a high resolution pattern from a mask onto a substrate at a high speed and over an image field substantially larger than the maximum field size of the imaging optics.

P2, B Description of the Prior Art

P Lithography systems are extensively used in the production of integrated circuit chips and electronic circuit boards. Such systems typically include a primary exposure source such as a high intensity lamp or a laser or source of other radiation, mask and substrate positioning systems, a projection system to illuminate and image the pattern present on the mask onto the substrate, and a control system. The intent typically is to illuminate a wafer coated with a layer of a radiation-sensitive material so as to produce the desired circuit pattern, which later will be metallized or otherwise activated during further processing. Illumination may be by ultraviolet light or visible light or other radiation such as X-rays or electron beam. The desire is to illuminate the target regions selectively so as to activate a particular pattern. Integrated circuit chips typically undergo numerous illumination steps and physical treatment steps during production.

As the demand for chips with ever greater memory and processing capability increases, the individual bits on the chips get smaller in dimensions. This requires that the lithography equipment used for imaging these patterns have higher and higher resolution. Simultaneously, the larger physical size of the chips demands that the higher resolution be achieved over a larger image field.

B, K An approach in the prior art to achieve high resolution is to use reduction optical imaging systems in which the pattern on the mask is reduced by a factor of 5 - 10 when reproduced on the wafer. Since such a reduction system is capable of high resolution only over a limited image field, the exposure region is confined to one chip size, approximately of an area 1 cm<sup>2</sup>. The entire wafer is processed by exposing a chip, stepping to the next chip,

and repeating the process. In these prior art machines, known as step and repeat systems, the limiting performance capability is determined by the reduction projection lens assembly which typically consists of a large number of individual lens elements. As the resolution requirements increase, the design complexity of this lens increases. Further, if a lens is designed for a higher resolution, typically its image field size decreases. To design and build a lens with both higher resolution and larger field size is a task of great difficulty.

B 1:1 magnification ratio, in which the wafer is exposed through a long and narrow curved slit, and in which imaging over the entire wafer is achieved by scanning the whole wafer once across this long and narrow slit. Although such systems are capable of exposing large chips, they are severely limited in their resolution capabilities due to their small numerical aperture. Furthermore, as device resolution requirements shrink to submicron dimensions, B and especially to 0.5 micron and below, such scanning systems suffer from the additional difficulty of requiring masks of the same high resolution as the devices to be produced. Consequently, these systems have not found much use for production of integrated circuit chips with submicron dimensions.

B Another prior art to achieve a large image field is to use an imaging system known as Wynne-Dyson design which has a magnification ratio of 1:1. B Although such systems can expose large chips, since their magnification ratio is 1:1, they suffer from the same disadvantages as stated in the above paragraph, namely, their resolution capability is severely limited because the mask requirements are made greatly more difficult.

Prior art lithography approaches employing electron beam illumination have used either a focused electron beam with a bit-by-bit serial writing B process, or shadow projection through a 1:1 stencil mask. These systems suffer from the disadvantages of, respectively, low exposure speed and requirement of a complex and difficult mask technology. Prior art X-ray lithography systems B have similarly used shadow printing through 1:1 membrane masks and thus, as L discussed in the above paragraphs, have the same disadvantages due to 1:1 patterning and difficult mask requirements.

In view of the limitations in prior art as discussed above, there is an important need to develop a lithography system which delivers superior resolution, high exposure speed and greatly extended field size.

CL

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

P The object of the invention is to provide a lithography system, that produces both a high resolution capability and a large effective image field size, as well as a high throughput rate of processing, for producing precise images of a high resolution mask onto a substrate, such as a semiconductor wafer.

A feature of the invention is a mechanism, herein termed 'scan and repeat' mechanism, for producing an image of the mask over a certain field, then simultaneously scanning the substrate and the mask across the above field, then moving the substrate laterally so as to expose a new scan region, and then repeating the scan several times to expose the entire substrate.

Another feature of this invention is the provision of a hexagonal image field, and scanning across this hexagonal field.

Another feature of the invention is the provision of complementary exposures in an overlap region between adjacent scans in such a way that a seam characteristic of a differently exposed substrate region between the scans is totally absent, and such that the illumination exposure dose delivered across the entire substrate is uniform.

Another feature of the invention is a mechanism for periodically resetting the mask such that the mask may contain multiple chip fields and yet not be of unreasonably large size.

Still another feature of the invention is a feedback control system for incrementally scanning the substrate with constant exposure dosage and with exact position control so as to greatly facilitate the frequent re-registration of the substrate to the mask at the desired interval.

The advantage of the invention is its high speed coupled with its ability to obtain high resolution over an image field significantly greater than the undistorted field size of its imaging optics, thus providing for high-throughput production of integrated circuit chips of significantly larger dimensions.

Other objects, features and advantages of the invention will be apparent to those skilled in the art by understanding the description of the preferred embodiments as explained in the following text and as illustrated in the accompanying drawings.

DR, CC

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

P Fig. 1 is a composite illustration of a scan and repeat lithography system,

showing an illumination system, a mask held in a mask stage, a projection lens assembly, a substrate held in a substrate stage, and a control system.

Fig. 2 is a diagram showing the effective circular and square image field sizes of the projection lens, the hexagonal illumination region on the substrate, and the effective scan width.

Fig. 3 is an illustration of the scan and repeat mechanism, showing two adjacent scans and complementary exposure in the overlap area between the two hexagonal illumination regions which generate the seamless exposure transition between the two scans.

Fig. 4 illustrates the principles of seamless overlapping hexagon scanning.

Fig. 5 is a diagram of the preferred embodiment of the scan and repeat mechanism, showing the scan direction alternating between left-to-right and right-to-left with each successive scan, and the substrate movement of  $w$  at the end of each scan.

Fig. 6 is an illustration showing the lay-out of the chip fields on the mask.

Fig. 7 illustrates the chip fields on the substrate and indicates the locations where resetting of the mask stage takes place.

Fig. 8 is a composite showing representative mask lay-outs with juxtapositions of mask chip fields to hexagonal scan fields which accomplish complementary exposure in the overlap region between adjacent scans and prevent the production of a seam.

Fig. 8A shows the details of the mask lay-out containing  $2m$  complete chip fields.

Fig. 8B shows the details of the mask lay-out containing  $3m$  complete chip fields.

Fig. 8C shows the details of the mask lay-out containing  $m$  complete and  $m$  partial chip fields.

Fig. 8D shows the details of the mask lay-out containing  $m$  complete and  $2m$  partial chip fields.

Fig. 9 is a diagram illustrating the principle of simultaneous two-dimensional scanning for high-resolution lithography.

Fig. 10 shows various exposure parameters, including energy per pulse at wafer and laser power, for different photoresist sensitivities and laser pulse repetition rates.

Fig. 11 gives the wafer throughput values for wafers of various diameters under various alignment situations.

#### DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

P This invention makes it possible to produce a lithography system that has all of the following characteristics: (a) it is capable of high resolution in producing images of a mask pattern onto a substrate; (b) it has a large effective image field; and (c) it has a high throughput rate of exposing the substrates. The apparatus to accomplish these characteristics includes a substrate stage capable of scanning and moving laterally; a mask stage capable of scanning and resetting, and functionally coupled to the substrate stage; an illumination system; a projection lens assembly; and a control system.

Fig. 1 illustrates the essential components of the apparatus. The substrate (10), such as a semiconductor wafer, coated with a layer of photosensitive material, is affixed rigidly in substrate stage 12. The mask (14), which contains the high resolution pattern that is to be imaged on the substrate 10, is affixed rigidly in the mask stage 16. Both the substrate stage 12 and the mask stage 16 are capable of fine precision movements, the details of which will be described shortly. The mask (14) is illuminated by radiation from the illumination system 18, which consists of illumination source system 20, relay lens 22, and beam steering means 24, such as a front-surface  $45^\circ$  mirror. The illumination source system 20 is such that its effective emission plane, 21, is in the shape of a regular hexagon. Relay lens 22 collects radiation into a certain numerical aperture,  $NA_s$ , from the hexagon shaped effective source plane (21) and images it with a certain magnification and numerical aperture,  $NA_{ms}$ , on the mask (14). Projection lens assembly 26, which consists of several individual lens elements 28, forms a precise image of the high resolution pattern within the hexagon shaped illuminated region on the mask, with a certain reduction ratio  $M$ , onto the substrate 10. The projection lens assembly 26 has a numerical aperture  $NA_m$  on the mask side and  $NA_w$  on the substrate side.  $NA_w$  is determined by the resolution requirements of the lithography system, and  $NA_m$  is related to  $NA_w$  by  $NA_m = NA_w / M$ . The projection lens assembly 26 is designed for as large a circular image field as possible (shown as 31 in Fig. 2), and the exposure

region on the substrate is then defined as the largest regular hexagon (shown as 32 in Fig. 2) that can be inscribed within the above circular field.

Returning to Fig. 1, the substrate stage 12 now scans the substrate 10 across its hexagon-shaped exposure region, and simultaneously the mask stage 16 scans the mask 14 across its hexagon-shaped illuminated region, so as to cover the length of the substrate in the direction of the scan. During such a scan, the mask stage 16 resets the mask to its original position several times. After completion of a scan across the substrate length, the substrate stage 12 moves the substrate 10 in a direction orthogonal to the scan direction and by an amount herein termed 'effective scan width'. Following such a lateral movement of the substrate, a new scan is generated by precise movements of the substrate and mask stages in the same manner as described above. The effective scan width and the illumination source system are designed with such characteristics that in combination, they produce a transition, from one scan to the next adjacent scan, that is totally seamless and free from any intensity nonuniformity. The above exposure process, thus termed 'scan and repeat' mechanism, is repeated until the entire substrate is exposed with the desired number of patterns. The details of the scanning, stepping, resetting, and repeating movements discussed above will be described presently. Control system 30 is functionally coupled to the illumination system 18, mask stage 16, projection lens assembly 26 and substrate stage 12, and ensures that the mask and substrate stages are aligned appropriately with respect to the projection lens assembly, that the mask and substrate stages perform the scan and repeat movements with the desired synchronism, and that the illumination system maintains the desired illumination characteristics throughout the exposure of the entire substrate.

Referring to Fig. 3, we next describe the mechanism of seamless overlapping hexagon scanning. The regular hexagon 36, also shown as a-b-g-j-h-c, represents the illuminated region on the substrate at any given instant in time. The substrate is scanned across this illumination region from the right to the left. It is important to note that the illumination beam (29 in Fig. 1) itself is stationary, as is the projection lens assembly (26 in Fig. 1). Thus, for the purpose of pictorial illustration, the movement of the substrate across the beam may be effectively depicted as the scanning, from left to right, of the hexagonal illumination region across a stationary substrate. This is shown as scan 1, or 37, in Fig. 3. The orientation of the hexagon 36 is such that one of its sides, for example b-g, is orthogonal to

the direction of scan.

To generate the next scan, first the substrate is moved, in a direction orthogonal to the scan direction, by a distance  $w$ , determined by

$$w = 1.5 l_h$$

where  $l_h$  is the length of each side of the hexagon. [We will show in a later paragraph that  $w$  is the effective scan width.] This new position of the illumination region, relative to the substrate, is 38, also shown as d-e-n-m-k-f. Now scan 2, denoted 39, is generated by scanning the substrate across the hexagonal illumination region 38, in a manner identical to the generation of scan 1.

An important aspect of the scan and repeat mechanism described here, namely the seamless overlap region between adjacent scans, will be discussed next. First let us indicate the non-overlapping regions. In scan 1, the region swept by the rectangular portion b-g-h-c of hexagon 36 is not overlapped by any portion of scan 2. Similarly, in scan 2, the region swept by the rectangular portion e-f-k-n of hexagon 38 is not overlapped by any portion of scan 1. However, the region swept by the triangular segment a-b-c of hexagon 36 in scan 1 is re-swept in scan 2 by the triangular segment d-e-f of hexagon 38. We now show that the cumulative exposure dose received in the overlapping region is the same as in the non-overlapping regions, and that the transition from scan 1 to scan 2 is seamless in exposure dose uniformity.

Referring to Fig. 4, let us consider a segment of hexagon 36 in the form of a strip, 40, of length  $l_o$  (cm) and width  $\delta$  (cm) in the non-overlapping scan region of the substrate. Let  $I_o$  ( $\text{mW}/\text{cm}^2$ ) be the intensity in the incident beam,  $v_x$  (cm/sec) the scan velocity, and  $t_o$  (sec) the time the substrate takes to travel a distance  $l_o$ . Clearly,  $t_o = l_o/v_x$ . The exposure dose,  $D_o$  ( $\text{mJ}/\text{cm}^2$ ), received by the substrate in the strip 40 is then given by

$$D_o = I_o t_o = I_o l_o / v_x = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} I_o l_h / v_x$$

Next, consider a strip 42, again of width  $\delta$  and parallel to the scan direction, at a distance  $y$  from b-c, or  $l_h/2 - y$  from the apex a. The length of strip 42 is given by

$$l_{y1} = \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{3} (l_h/2 - y)$$

and hence the time it takes the substrate to scan a length  $l_{y1}$  is

$$t_1 = l_{y1} / v_x = \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{3} (l_h/2 - y) / v_x$$

Therefore, the dose received in the substrate region scanned by strip 42 is

$$D_1 = I_o t_1 = \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{3} (l_h/2 - y) I_o / v_x$$

Let us now look at the scanning done by hexagon 38. The segment of hexagon 38,

that scans a region that overlaps with the region scanned by strip 42, is the strip 44, and has a width  $\delta$ , and length

$$T1, B, 29 \quad l_{y2} = 2\sqrt{3} y.$$

PS The scan time corresponding to length  $l_{y2}$  is

$$T1, B, 29 \quad t_2 = l_{y2}/v_x = 2\sqrt{3} y/v_x.$$

PS and therefore, the dose received in the substrate region scanned by strip 44 is

$$T1, B, 29 \quad D_2 = I_0 t_2 = 2\sqrt{3} y I_0 / v_x.$$

PS Hence, the cumulative dose in the overlapping region is

$$T1, B, 29 \quad D = D_1 + D_2 = 2\sqrt{3} (l_h/2 - y) I_0 / v_x + 2\sqrt{3} y I_0 / v_x,$$

$$L, L, \text{ or } D = \sqrt{3} l_h I_0 / v_x = D_0.$$

PS Thus, the total exposure dose received at any point in the overlapping regions of the substrate is the same as the dose received in the non-overlapping regions. Furthermore, the entire exposure is seamless because (a) the doses provided by hexagons 36 and 38 taper in opposite directions in the overlapping region, and (b) the doses taper to zero at apex a and apex d, respectively.

In the above discussion, it can be stated that, although the exposure coverage of the substrate is free of any discontinuities, there is a definable parameter of an effective scan width,  $w_{\frac{1}{3}}$  in the sense that the total width (in the direction orthogonal to the scan direction) of the substrate exposed by N scans will be  $Nw$ .

The scan and repeat mechanism described in the preceding paragraphs has incorporated a design such that all scans are in the same direction. Thus, for each scan the substrate travels from right to left, at the end of each scan it returns to its starting position, moves by a distance  $w$  in a direction perpendicular to the scan direction, and again travels from right to left for the next scan. In the preferred embodiment, shown in Fig. 5, the scan direction is made to alternate between right-to-left and left-to-right with each successive scan, and the movement by  $w$  in the orthogonal direction takes place at the end of each scan, without the substrate returning to the starting position of the just-completed scan. Thus, scan 1 (50) proceeds from left to right, at the end of which the substrate is moved by a distance  $w$  (52); then scan 2 (54) is carried out from right to left, at the end of which the substrate is again moved by a distance  $w$  (56); then scan 3 (58) is carried out from left to right; and so on. All other details of the mechanism shown in Fig. 5 are identical to those in Fig. 3.

We now describe the movement of the mask. Since the projection lens has a



reduction ratio  $M$ , the patterns on the mask are  $M$  times larger than those to be imaged on the substrate. If the substrate is a semiconductor wafer on which integrated circuit chips are to be produced, then each chip field on the mask is  $M$  times larger than the chip to be produced on the substrate. Further, as the substrate scans across the stationary illumination beam, the mask is scanned simultaneously at a speed  $M$  times that of the substrate. However, if the mask scanning were to continue uninterrupted during the entire length of the substrate scan, the mask would have to be of a formidably large size; for example, for a 6-inch diameter semiconductor wafer and a projection lens reduction ratio of 5, the mask would have to be at least 30 inches long, which is impractical. This problem is eliminated as follows. The mask (60 in Fig. 6) is made of a manageable size (for example, 10 inches long), and contains a very small number  $m$  ( $m = 2$ , for example) of complete chip fields, as shown by 62 in Fig. 6. When the scan across these chip fields on the mask is completed, both the substrate and the mask stages are momentarily stopped (66, 68 in Fig. 7). Now the mask stage is reset to its original position, the simultaneous scanning of the mask and the substrate is resumed (see Fig. 7), and another  $m$  chips are imaged, after which the mask is again reset. This is repeated until the substrate scan completes the length of the substrate. The substrate alone is now moved by the effective scan width  $w$  in a direction perpendicular to the scan direction, and the above process is carried out for the next scan in the opposite direction. No movement of the mask in the  $y$ -direction, i.e., the direction perpendicular to the scan direction, is necessary because as described in the case above, the effective mask scan width is designed to be sufficient to cover the width of a mask chip field. In those cases where chip fields wider than the scan width must be exposed, the mask stage is designed with provision for movement in the  $y$ -direction.

Having described the fundamental aspects of mask movement, we now add that together with the  $m$  complete chip fields, the mask must have additional patterned regions so that, as required by the principle of seamless overlapping scanning, the entire mask area contained within the hexagonal mask illumination region will be imageable onto the substrate. These additional patterned regions on the mask may be in the form of  $m$  complete chip fields (70, Fig. 8A),  $2m$  complete chip fields (72, Fig. 8B),  $m$  partial chip fields (74, Fig. 8C), or  $2m$  partial chip fields (76, Fig. 8D).

Further details of the movements of the mask and substrate stages will now be given. In the preferred embodiment, the illumination source system (20

in Fig. 1) uses a pulsed radiation source, such as a laser or a lamp. In such a situation, the scanning by the substrate and mask stages is made up of multiple stepping movements, and each such step is made to synchronize with a firing of a pulse from the illumination source. Let the pulse repetition rate be  $f$  (Hz). Then, for a net substrate stage scan velocity of  $v_x$  (cm/sec), the scanning of the substrate stage is done in multiples of steps, each of which is  $v_x/f$  (cm). Clearly, the mask stage steps are  $M$  times larger. When stepping across the mask chip fields is completed, all movements stop, the mask stage is reset, and the process is repeated as before. Such a system for the movements of the stages has two major advantages. First, exposure uniformity is obtained by designing a control mechanism which ensures that a stage step is taken only if the source fires a pulse. Second, since the substrate and mask stages are step-wise synchronized, accurate alignment is possible at any desired interval. Thus, if alignment is to be carried out for every chip, and the chip length is  $l_c$  along the scan direction, then alignment must be done after every  $l_c f/v_x$  pulses fired by the source or steps taken by the stage. In situations where the illumination source is a continuous source rather than pulsed, the mask-substrate alignment process is not related to the source, and is carried out independently.

Finally, we describe a variation of the above embodiment. In this embodiment, called 'two-dimensional overlapping scanning', scanning of the mask and substrate stages is carried out simultaneously in both the x-direction and the y-direction. Referring to Fig. 9, scanning in the x-direction begins with scan 1 (80) from left to right, its direction reverses at the end of scan 1, scan 2 (82) is then carried out right to left, scan 3 (84) again left to right, scan 4 (86) right to left, and so on. The width of each x-scan has been shown in Fig. 9 as  $w$ ; this is for the purpose of illustration only, as the illumination region is hexagonal and the overlap between scans 1 and 3 (and between scans 2 and 4) is seamless as before,  $w$  thus being the effective scan width as defined previously. Simultaneously with the scanning in the x-direction, the substrate and the mask are scanned continuously in the y-direction. The y-scan rate is such that during the time it takes the substrate to complete one left-to-right scan and one right-to-left scan, the substrate moves in the y-direction by the effective scan width  $w$ . Thus, if  $v_x$  and  $v_y$  are, respectively, the x- and y-scan velocities of the substrate,  $l_x$  the total x-scan length, and  $t_x$  and  $t_y$  the time durations, respectively, to scan lengths  $l_x$  and  $w$  in x- and y-directions, then, since

$$t_y = 2t_x, v_y \text{ is related to } v_x \text{ by } \beta$$

$$T1, \beta \quad v_y/v_x = w/2l_x.$$

$\beta$  The x- and y-scanning rates of the mask are  $Mv_x$  and  $Mv_y$ , respectively, M being, as before, the reduction ratio of the projection lens assembly.

Before proceeding to an example of the preferred embodiment according to the invention, its key advantages may here be summarized. The scan and repeat mechanism not only makes it possible to obtain high resolution, but also enables one to expose much larger chips than would be possible with prior-art high resolution lithography systems of the stepper type employing the same projection lens assembly. Referring to Fig. 2, let us consider a projection lens assembly with a circular field size,  $\phi$ , of diameter  $2l_h$ . The largest rectangular chip of aspect ratio 1:2 (34 in Fig. 2) that can be exposed with such a lens in the prior-art stepper lithography system has a width of  $2l_h \sin(\tan^{-1} 0.5) = 0.89 l_h$ . In comparison, the scan and repeat system described herein can expose chips of width  $w = 1.5 l_h$  without moving the mask in the y-direction. If the scan and repeat system is designed with provision for mask movement in the y-direction, chips of widths as large as the substrate width can be exposed.

In the above description of the preferred embodiment we have considered a refractive projection subsystem which is a lens assembly consisting of several individual lens elements. In alternative embodiments, some or all of the optical components in the projection subsystem may be reflective elements, e. g., dielectric or metallic mirrors. One such alternative embodiment may use X-ray illumination and an X-ray projection subsystem, designed with a certain object-to-image ratio and consisting of X-ray mirrors. The scan and repeat lithography concept with seamless dosage delivery by complementary overlapping polygon exposure, as described in this invention, is also applicable to various proximity lithography systems, i. e., systems in which the projection subsystem consists of a certain spacing separating the mask and the substrate and image projection takes the form of shadow printing. For example, a scan and repeat X-ray proximity lithography system employing this invention may have a polygon-shaped X-ray beam which is used for shadow printing a mask pattern onto a substrate, the mask and substrate being held in proximity and scanned simultaneously with appropriate overlap between adjacent scans as taught in this invention to produce seamless and uniform exposure of the substrate. Similarly, an electron beam lithography system using proximity printing may employ the scan and repeat concept of this invention by having an

electron beam with a polygonal cross-section, by scanning the mask and substrate in synchronism, and by having complementary exposures through overlapping adjacent scans, thus ensuring seamless and uniform dosage over the entire substrate. In a similar fashion, this invention is also applicable to a scan and repeat optical contact or proximity lithography system. Finally, the concept of scan and repeat lithography with complementary edge illumination by adjacent scans is applicable to maskless lithography systems in which a polygonal beam may be focused on the substrate which may then be moved in two dimensions to produce the desired pattern on the substrate.

CL

#### METHOD OF OPERATION

P The invention carries out the method for providing a scan and repeat lithography system for high resolution, large-field, high-speed lithography, using the following steps:

PI, B

1. Providing a substrate stage for holding the substrate, and capable of scanning the substrate in one dimension, and capable of moving laterally in a direction perpendicular to the scan direction;

PI, B

2. Providing a mask stage for holding the mask, and capable of scanning the mask in the same dimension as the substrate stage;

PI, B

3. Providing a projection subsystem which has a certain reduction ratio  $M$ , which is designed to produce the required resolution, and which has a circular image field size of a diameter which may be less than the length of a chip on the substrate, but not less than the width of the chip;

PI, B

4. Providing an illumination subsystem which produces radiation with wavelength and intensity characteristics as required by the projection system, and which produces on the substrate an illuminated region in the shape of a regular hexagon of side  $l_h$  that can be inscribed within the circular image field;

PI, B

5. Providing a mask which has a certain number,  $m$ , of complete, patterned chip fields, and additional patterned areas that fall within the hexagonal illuminated region on the mask;

PI, B

6. Scanning the substrate across the hexagonal substrate illumination region at a velocity  $v_x$ , and simultaneously scanning the mask in a parallel direction across the hexagonal mask illumination region at a velocity  $Mv_x$ ;

P1, B

7. Stopping the substrate and mask scanning momentarily upon completion of the exposure of  $m$  chips, resetting the mask stage to its starting position, and resuming the scanning of the substrate and mask stages;

P1, B

8. Stopping the substrate and mask scanning upon completion of a scan across the width of the substrate, moving the substrate by a distance equal to  $1.5 l_h$  in a direction perpendicular to the scan direction, and resuming the scanning of the substrate and the mask stages in directions opposite to their respective directions in steps 6 and 7;

P1 B  
L

9. Aligning the substrate and mask stages at the desired chip interval during steps 6 - 8; and

P1

10. Repeating steps 6 - 9 until exposure of the entire substrate is completed.

CL

#### EXAMPLE

An example of the design of a scan and repeat lithography system according to the invention will now be given. The hexagonal illumination region on the substrate, shown as 32 in Fig. 2, is such that  $\sqrt{2} l_h$ , the length of a side of the effective square field size (33 in Fig. 2) of the projection lens assembly (26 in Fig. 1) is 10.0 mm. Then,  $l_h$ , the length of a side of the regular hexagon, is  $10.0/\sqrt{2}$  mm = 7.07 mm, and the circular image field size (31 in Fig. 2) of the projection lens assembly has a diameter  $2l_h = 2 \times 7.07$  mm = 14.1 mm. The projection lens assembly has a reduction ratio of 5. The illumination region on the mask is a regular hexagon of side  $5 \times 7.07$  mm = 35.4 mm.

The substrate stage is designed to hold 200 mm diameter semiconductor wafers. The substrate stage scans in the x-direction at a velocity  $v_x = 100$  mm/sec. The length of each x-scan is determined by the segment of the substrate being scanned, and equals the substrate diameter (200 mm) for scans at and near the center of the substrate. At the end of the first x-scan (50 in Fig. 5), the substrate stage moves in the y-direction by a distance equal to the effective scan width  $w$  (52 in Fig. 5), which is designed to be  $1.5 l_h = 1.5 \times 7.07$  mm = 10.6 mm. After the y-movement by  $w$  (= 10.6 mm), the substrate scans in the negative x-direction (54 in Fig. 5), at the end of which another y-movement by 10.6 mm is given (56 in Fig. 5), and another x-scan (58 in Fig. 5) begins in the x-direction. This process is continued until the complete substrate is scanned. Simultaneously with substrate scanning, the mask stage scans at a velocity  $5v_x = 500$  mm/sec, and it reverses its direction whenever

7 0  
80 94

the substrate stage reverses its direction.

B Each chip field on the substrate is taken to be, in this example, 10.6 mm wide (same as the effective scan width, w) and 22.0 mm long (see Fig. 7). The chip fields on the mask are then 5 times larger, i.e., 53.0 mm x 110.0 mm. The mask blank is approximately 125 mm wide and 250 mm long, and patterned with 4 complete chip fields arranged as shown in Fig. 8A. Note that the dimensions of a chip field, whether on the substrate or on the mask, are defined so as to include the kerf, i.e., the spacing between adjacent fields.

B The mask scans only in the x-direction, and its scan length is the length of two mask chip fields (220 mm). After the mask has scanned a length of 220 mm, both the mask and the substrate stages are momentarily stopped, the mask stage is reset to its original position (see Fig. 7), and the synchronous scanning of the mask and the substrate is resumed. After scanning two more chip fields, the mask stage is again reset, and the above process continues during the exposure of the entire substrate.

B, 35 The projection lens assembly is designed for operation at a wavelength of  $\lambda = 248.4 \pm 0.003$  nm from a line-narrowed and frequency-stabilized krypton fluoride (KrF) excimer laser source. The numerical aperture of the projection lens assembly on the substrate side is  $NA_w = 0.46$ ; it is designed to give a resolution value R of 0.35 micron using

T1 PS 
$$R = k\lambda / NA_w$$

PS in which the value of k is taken to be 0.65. With a reduction ratio  $M = 5$ , the numerical aperture of the projection lens assembly on the mask side (see Fig. 1) is

T1, B 33 PS 
$$NA_m = M \times NA_w = 0.092.$$

PS The illumination of the mask is such that the partial coherence factor  $\sigma = 0.6$ . Thus, the effective numerical aperture of the illumination on the mask is

T1 B L PS 
$$NA_{ms} = NA_m / \sigma = 0.153.$$

PS The size of the effective source plane (21 in Fig. 1) is designed to be the same as the hexagonal illumination region on the substrate, i. e.,  $1/M$  of the size of the hexagonal illumination region on the mask. Thus, the effective numerical aperture of the source,  $NA_s$ , at the source plane is designed to be

T1, 33, B P 
$$NA_s = M \times NA_{ms} = 0.767.$$

P The excimer laser source is pulsed at a repetition rate of 204 Hz. (The choice of 204 Hz for the laser pulse repetition rate will be explained below in connection with the wafer throughput calculation.) The scanning of the substrate stage is carried out in steps synchronized with the laser pulses;

thus, with each firing of a laser pulse, the substrate stage moves 0.49 mm (which gives an effective substrate scan velocity of 100 mm/sec). Similarly, the mask stage scanning, in steps of 2.45 mm each, is also synchronized with the laser pulses.

The wafer throughput, i. e., the number of wafers exposed per hour, in such a scan and repeat lithography system will now be calculated. The hexagonal illumination region on the substrate has a width  $l_d$  (for example, b-c in Fig. 3) given by

$$l_d = \sqrt{3} l_h = 12.2 \text{ mm.}$$

For a substrate scan velocity of  $v_x = 10 \text{ cm/sec}$ , the hexagon width  $l_d$  is scanned in a time  $t_d$  given by

$$t_d = l_d / v_x = \sqrt{3} l_h / v_x = 0.122 \text{ sec.}$$

With a laser pulse repetition rate of  $f = 204 \text{ Hz}$ , the number of pulses fired during the time it takes the substrate to scan a distance  $l_d$  is

$$N = f t_d = \sqrt{3} l_h f / v_x = 25.$$

Thus, each point on the wafer receives the cumulative exposure from  $N = 25$  laser pulses. (It should now be clear that the laser pulse repetition rate was chosen to be 204 Hz, rather than, say, 200 Hz, in order to make  $N$  an integer.)

The laser pulse energy density  $E_w$  ( $\text{mJ/cm}^2$ ) at the wafer is determined in such way that the total exposure dose received is equal to the sensitivity  $D_s$  ( $\text{mJ/cm}^2$ ) of the photoresist used; thus,

$$D_s = N E_w = \sqrt{3} l_h f E_w / v_x = 25 E_w,$$

$$\text{or, } E_w = D_s / N = D_s v_x / \sqrt{3} l_h f = D_s / 25.$$

For example, using a photoresist of sensitivity  $D_s = 50 \text{ mJ/cm}^2$ , the laser pulse energy density at the wafer must be  $E_w = 2 \text{ mJ/cm}^2$ . Since the area  $A$  of the hexagonal illumination region on the wafer is given by

$$A = l_h \times \sqrt{3} l_h + 0.5 l_h \times \sqrt{3} l_h = 1.5 \sqrt{3} l_h^2 = 1.3 \text{ cm}^2,$$

the energy per pulse at the wafer,  $e_w$ , is

$$e_w = A E_w = 1.5 l_h D_s v_x / f = 2.6 \text{ mJ.}$$

Hence,  $p_w$ , the power incident on the wafer is

$$p_w = f e_w = 1.5 l_h D_s v_x = 0.53 \text{ W.}$$

Taking an effective transfer efficiency of  $\eta = 20\%$  for the complete optical system between the laser and the substrate, the power emitted by the laser is

$$p_L = p_w / \eta = 1.5 l_h D_s v_x / \eta = 2.65 \text{ W.}$$

Using the equations derived above, various quantities such as the laser power required and the number of overlapping pulses at each point may be calculated for any other set of parameters including resist sensitivity, scan velocity,

pulse repetition rate and size of the illumination region. For example, a repetition rate of 303 Hz, with all other parameters as before, gives  $N = 37$ ,  $E_w = 1.35 \text{ mJ/cm}^2$  and  $e_w = 1.76 \text{ mJ}$ , the power from the laser still being  $p_L = 2.65 \text{ W}$ . If a resist sensitivity  $D_g = 10 \text{ mJ/cm}^2$  is used, then, with  $f = 204 \text{ Hz}$ , we get  $N = 25$  as calculated previously, but now  $E_w = 0.4 \text{ mJ/cm}^2$ ,  $e_w = 0.52 \text{ mJ}$ ,  $p_w = 106 \text{ mW}$  and  $p_L = 0.53 \text{ W}$ . A complete set of such results for resist sensitivities of 10, 50 and  $100 \text{ mJ/cm}^2$  and laser pulse repetition rates of 98, 204 and 303 Hz is presented as Table I in Fig. 10.

The total scan time required to expose wafers of various sizes is calculated as follows. Since the scanning is done at a rate of  $v_x (= 100 \text{ mm/sec})$  and the effective scan width is  $w (= 1.5 l_h = 10.6 \text{ mm})$ , the effective area exposed each second is

$$a = wv_x = 1.5 l_h v_x = 10.6 \text{ cm}^2.$$

Therefore, a wafer of diameter  $d_w$ , which has an area  $A_w = \pi d_w^2/4$ , will be exposed in a time duration  $t_e$  given by

$$t_e = A_w/a = \pi d_w^2/4wv_x = \pi d_w^2/61 l_h v_x.$$

The areas of wafers of diameter 125 mm, 150 mm and 200 mm are, respectively,  $122.7 \text{ cm}^2$ ,  $176.7 \text{ cm}^2$ , and  $314.2 \text{ cm}^2$ . Hence, the total exposure times for 125 mm, 150 mm and 200 mm diameter wafers are, respectively,  $t_{e,125} = 11.6 \text{ sec}$ ,  $t_{e,150} = 16.7 \text{ sec}$  and  $t_{e,200} = 29.6 \text{ sec}$ .

The number of chips,  $n_c$ , of width  $w (= 10.6 \text{ mm})$  and length  $l_c (= 22.0 \text{ mm})$  on wafers of different diameters is calculated by dividing the wafer area  $A_w$  by the chip area,  $A_c (= 2.33 \text{ cm}^2)$ . Thus,

$$n_c = A_w/A_c = (\pi d_w^2/4)/wl_c = (\pi d_w^2/4)/1.5 l_h l_c = \pi d_w^2/6 l_h l_c.$$

For 125 mm, 150 mm and 200 mm diameter wafers, the value of  $n_c$  is 52, 75 and 134, respectively.

The wafer throughput is now obtained as follows. We have

$t_e$  = total exposure time per wafer, and

$n_c$  = number of chips per wafer.

In addition, let

$t_a$  = alignment time per alignment event,

$n_a$  indicate that alignment is done once every  $n_a$  chips,

$t_{oh}$  = total overhead time per wafer (includes load, unload, level, focus, settle and stops)

$W$  = wafer throughput per hour.

Then, the total time taken to cycle a wafer through the lithography machine is given by



90

71

PS

$$t_t = t_e + t_{oh} + t_a n_c / n_a.$$

PS

Hence, the wafer throughput per hour is

PS

$$W = 3600 / t_t = 3600 / (t_e + t_{oh} + t_a n_c / n_a)$$

$$\text{or, } W = 3600 / (\pi d_w^2 / 6 l_h v_x + t_{oh} + t_a n_c / n_a).$$

PS The above general expression for wafer throughput may be used with any set of values for the parameters  $l_h$ ,  $v_x$ ,  $n_c$ ,  $t_a$ ,  $n_a$ ,  $d_w$  and  $t_{oh}$  to produce the wafer throughput result for the given conditions being considered. As an example,

B for wafers of diameter  $d_w = 150$  mm, with alignment being done every fourth chip (i. e.,  $n_a = 4$ ), and using  $l_h = 7.07$  mm,  $v_x = 100$  mm/sec,  $n_c / n_a = 19$ ,  $t_a = 0.4$  sec and  $t_{oh} = 20$  sec, we obtain  $W = 81.3$  wafers/hr. If alignment is done every tenth chip, we use  $n_c / n_a = 8$  and get  $W = 90.2$  wafers/hr. For site-by-site alignment (i. e.  $n_a = 1$ ),  $W = 54.0$  wafers/hr. In Table II in Fig. 11

B we give a complete set of wafer throughput values for wafer diameters of 125, 150 and 200 mm with three different alignment conditions in each case.